

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/622,874 | 07/18/2003 | Juan C. Minano | 3084.007 | 2758 |
| 26375 | 7590 06/03/2004 | 4 EXAMINER | | INER |
| SINSHEIMER, SCHIEBELHUT, BAGGETT 1010 PEACH STREET | | | COLLINS, DARRYL J | |
| | SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2873 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/622,874 | MINANO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Darryl J. Collins | 2873 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-24</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03252004</u> ; 3-22-64; 2-4-04; 12-12-0; | _ | , | | | | |

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because elements discussed in the Specification, as outlined below, fail to appear in the Drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1. In the Specification, elements 46, 47, 48, 49, 57 and 58 are discussed as being in Figure 5, but not shown in the drawings.
- 2. In the Specification, element 69 is discussed as being in Figure 7, but not shown in the drawings.
- 3. In the Specification, elements 98 is discussed as being in Figure 8, but not shown in the drawings.
- 4. In the Specification, elements 106, 107 and 108 are discussed as being in Figure 10a, but not shown in the drawings.
- 5. In the Specification, elements 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115 and 116 are discussed as being in Figure 10b, but not shown in the drawings.
- 6. In the Specification, elements 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127 and 128 are discussed as being in Figure 11, but not shown in the drawings.

- 7. In the Specification, elements 130, 131, 132, 133, 134 and 135 are discussed as being in Figure 12, but not shown in the drawings.
- 8. In the Specification, elements 131, 135, 136, 137, 139 and 141 are discussed as being in Figure 12b, but not shown in the drawings.
- 9. In the Specification, elements 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155 and 156 are discussed as being in Figure 13, but not shown in the drawings.
- 10. In paragraph 0064, the "left half-lens" and the "right half-lens" are both referenced by the number "83".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said transverse cylindrical lenses" in line 3 of page 16.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 and 11-24 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. Although the prior art teaches many illumination lenses having faceted

Art Unit: 2873

asymmetrically about an axis tilted with respect to a normal of an upper flat surface as claimed in independent claim 1, a method of redirecting radiant energy using such a lens as claimed in independent claim 21, a tilted-beam illumination lens comprising both converging and diverging total internal reflection lenses as claimed in independent claim 13 and a tilted-beam illumination lens having a smooth upper surface and a lower surface comprising a number of deflective facets having a bilaterally symmetric total internal reflection lens profile wherein the TIR is tilted relative to the normal of the upper surface further having a rim angle greater than 90° as claimed in independent claim 17.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darryl J. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-2325. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 5:00 Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 5